1

10

8

1112

13 14

15

1617

18

19 20

21

22

2324

2526

27

28

Plaintiff and Counterclaim-Defendant City and County of San Francisco (the "City"), and Defendant and Counterclaimant the City of Oakland, a municipal corporation, acting by and through its Board of Port Commissioners (the "Port") (together, the "Parties" and each, a "Party"), by and through their undersigned attorneys, hereby stipulate and agree pursuant to Civil Local Rules 7-12 as follows:

- WHEREAS, on November 12, 2025, the City filed a Motion for Leave to File Second Amended Complaint (Dkt. 120) ("Motion");
- WHEREAS, on December 4, 2025, the Parties filed a Stipulation Regarding Amendment of Case Management Deadlines (Dkt. 127) ("Stipulation to Extend Deadlines"), which sought to extend the case schedule by roughly three months;
- WHEREAS, the Court granted the Stipulation to Extend Deadlines with slight modifications on December 4, 2025 (Dkt. 128);
- WHEREAS, the hearing on the Motion is noticed for December 18, 2025 at 10:00 a.m., which the Court converted to a Zoom hearing (Dkt. 129);
- WHEREAS, on December 16, 2025, the Parties participated in an over twelve-hour mediation before a JAMS mediator, which resulted in a term sheet to be reduced to a final settlement agreement and for which the Parties are scheduling and seeking respective board approval to finalize;
- WHEREAS, the Parties intend to file an appropriate notice with the Court if the proposed settlement terms are ultimately approved after execution of a final settlement agreement and further to the terms therein;
- WHEREAS, in the meantime, consistent with the agreed-upon terms, and to avoid
  potentially unnecessary expenditures and events that may interfere with the resolution of
  this Action, the Parties have agreed to continue the hearing on the Motion, subject to the
  Court's approval;
- WHEREAS the Parties believe that good cause exists and it is in the interests of judicial economy to continue the hearing on the Motion to allow the Parties to finalize a settlement agreement.

1 Therefore, the Parties respectfully request that this Court enter the attached proposed 2 order continuing the hearing on the Motion to January 22, 2026, or as soon thereafter as is 3 convenient for the Court. The Parties do not intend this Stipulation to prejudice their positions in 4 pursuit of or opposition to the Motion. 5 IT IS SO STIPULATED. 6 7 Dated: December 17, 2025 **COOLEY LLP** 8 By: <u>/s/ Bobby Ghaj</u>ar Bobby Ghajar 9 Judd Lauter John Hemann 10 Ryan Stevens Jessica Williams 11 12 Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counterclaim Defendant City and County of San 13 Francisco 14 15 FENNEMORE LLP & FENNEMORE CRAIG, 16 Dated: December 17, 2025 P.C. 17 18 By: /s/ Brandi B. Balanda Eugene M. Pak 19 Stephen C. Willey Brandi B. Balanda 20 Sarah Gohmann Bigelow 21 Attorneys for Defendant and 22 Counterclaimant City of Oakland, a municipal corporation, acting by and 23 through its Board of Port Commissioners (Port of Oakland) 24 25 26 27 28

STIPULATION RE HEARING ON MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE SAC CASE No.: 3:24-CV-02311-TSH

## CIVIL L.R. 5-1(i)(3) ATTESTATION I, Bobby Ghajar, attest that each of the other signatories have concurred in the filing of this document, which shall serve in lieu of their own signatures on the document. Dated: December 17, 2025 COOLEY LLP By: /s/ Bobby Ghajar Bobby Ghajar John Hemann Judd Lauter Jessica Williams Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counterclaim Defendant CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

**ORDER** PURSUANT TO THE ABOVE STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED: The hearing on the Plaintiff's Motion for Leave to File Second Amended Complaint (Dkt. 120) is hereby continued to January 22, 2026 at 10:00 a.m. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: December 17, 2025. Magistrate Judge Thomas S.